

SC NAACP v. Alexander,
D.S.C. Case No. 3:21-cv-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG

EXHIBIT 5

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION**

THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE
CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP,

and

TAIWAN SCOTT, on behalf of himself and all
other similarly situated persons,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THOMAS C. ALEXANDER, in his official
capacity as President of the Senate; LUKE A.
RANKIN, in his official capacity as Chairman
of the Senate Judiciary Committee; JAMES H.
LUCAS, in his official capacity as Speaker of
the House of Representatives; CHRIS
MURPHY, in his official capacity as Chairman
of the House of Representatives Judiciary
Committee; WALLACE H. JORDAN, in his
official capacity as Chairman of the House of
Representatives Elections Law Subcommittee;
HOWARD KNAPP, in his official capacity as
interim Executive Director of the South
Carolina State Election Commission; JOHN
WELLS, Chair, JOANNE DAY, CLIFFORD
J. EDLER, LINDA MCCALL, and SCOTT
MOSELEY, in their official capacities as
members of the South Carolina Election
Commission,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-03302-MBS-TJH-
RMG

THREE-JUDGE PANEL

**SUPPLEMENTED RULE 26(a)(1)
DISCLOSURES BY JAMES H. LUCAS,
CHRIS MURPHY, AND WALLACE H.
JORDAN WITH RESPECT TO THE
CONGRESSIONAL PLAN**

Defendants James H. Lucas (in his official capacity as Speaker of the South Carolina House of Representatives), Chris Murphy (in his official capacity as Chairman of the South Carolina House of Representatives Judiciary Committee), and Wallace H. Jordan (in his official capacity as Chairman of the South Carolina House of Representatives Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee)

(collectively, the “**House Defendants**”), by and through undersigned counsel, make the following disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) with respect to the Congressional Plan as enacted by Act No. 118. These disclosures are made based on the information presently available to the House Defendants and are subject to modification or supplementation as more information becomes available.

The responses set forth below are made without waiving: (1) the right to object to the use of any responses for any purpose, in this action or any other actions, on the grounds of privilege, relevance, or any other appropriate grounds; (2) the right to object to any other request involving or relative to the subject matter of the responses herein; and (3) the right to revise, correct, supplement, or clarify any of the responses below, at any time. House Defendants specifically reserve the right to supplement these responses herein in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(i): The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment:

RESPONSE: House Defendants hereby disclose this list of persons believed likely to have discoverable information that the House Defendants may use to support their claims or defenses (unless solely for impeachment) based on House Defendants’ knowledge to date:

- 1. James H. Lucas, Speaker of the South Carolina House of Representatives (“House”),** contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
- 2. Chris Murphy, Chairman of the South Carolina House of Representatives Judiciary Committee (“Judiciary Committee”),** contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may

have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.

3. **Wallace H. Jordan, Chairman of the House of Representatives Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee (“Ad Hoc Committee”)**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
4. **Justin T. Bamberg, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
5. **Beth E. Bernstein, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
6. **Neal A. Collins, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
7. **Jason Elliot, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
8. **Patricia Moore “Pat” Henegan, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
9. **Wm. Weston J. Newton, Member of the Ad Hoc Committee**, contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information

regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.

10. **Patrick Dennis, General Counsel**, available through counsel. Subject to the attorney-client and work-product privileges, Mr. Dennis may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
11. **Emma Dean, Chief Counsel to the Judiciary Committee**, available through counsel. Subject to the attorney-client and work-product privileges, Ms. Dean may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
12. **Thomas Hauger, Data and GIS Director for Redistricting**, available through counsel. Subject to the attorney-client and work-product privileges, Mr. Hauger may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
13. **Members of the South Carolina House of Representatives**, names and contact information for all House Members available at <https://www.scstatehouse.gov/member.php?chamber=H>. Subject to the legislative, attorney-client, and work-product privileges, Members may have information regarding the Congressional Plan adopted by the House, or any other plans considered by the House.
14. **Any party or any witness called by a party**. House Defendants reserve the right to use information provided by any opposing party or witness called by an opposing party. House Defendants also reserve the right to use information provided by any other defendant or witness called by any other defendant.

Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(ii): A copy—or a description by category and location—of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things that the disclosing party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment:

RESPONSE: House Defendants identify the following documents reasonably believed to be in their possession, custody, or control relevant to disputed facts alleged in the pleading that may be used to support their claims or defenses:

1. House Defendants may use any and all material accessible through the South Carolina House of Representatives Redistricting 2021 website, <https://redistricting.schouse.gov/>, as well as archived versions of this website from prior redistricting cycles, to support their defenses. These materials include, but are not limited to:

- a. 2021 Guidelines and Criteria for Congressional and Legislative Redistricting, adopted August 3, 2021.
 - b. Ad Hoc Committee Draft Plans for Congressional Districts.
 - c. H. 4492 as passed by the Judiciary Committee on January 11, 2022.
 - d. Act No. 118 as passed by the South Carolina House of Representatives and signed into law by Governor McMaster on January 26, 2022.
 - e. Public submissions relevant to the Congressional redistricting process.
 - f. Oral testimony received from the public at Ad Hoc Committee meetings, available in video recordings and transcripts.
 - g. Written testimony received from the public through redistricting@schouse.gov.
 - h. Written testimony received by e-mail, mail or in person at Ad Hoc Committee meetings.
 - i. Ad Hoc Committee meetings, Judiciary Committee meetings, and House sessions pertaining to H. 4492, S.865, and Act No. 118.
2. House Defendants may use any and all statistical data and reports posted on the House Redistricting website (or archived versions of that website) as well as data made available by the United State Census Bureau and the South Carolina Election Commission.
 3. Maps of existing and previous United States Congressional Districts in South Carolina.
 4. House Defendants may use parts of the public record in *Backus v. South Carolina*, 857 F. Supp. 2d 553, 557 (D.S.C.), *aff'd*, 568 U.S. 801 (2012), *Colleton County v. McConnell*, 201 F. Supp. 2d 618 (D.S.C. 2002), and other prior redistricting litigation.
 5. House Defendants may use any plans, databases, reports, calculations, analyses, or other materials generated from the data sets listed above.
 6. Publicly available statements, news articles, press releases, social media, websites and similar media regarding the redistricting process and the United States Congressional Districts in South Carolina.

House Defendants' investigation into the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint is

ongoing. Accordingly, House Defendants reserve the right to amend or supplement this response as necessary during the course of discovery and further proceedings in this case. In the event their investigation reveals additional documents in support of their claims or defenses, House Defendants will timely produce these documents to Plaintiffs in accordance with the applicable rules and discovery deadlines in this action. House Defendants further reserve the right to identify any other documents identified in Plaintiffs' initial disclosure statement or produced by Plaintiffs during discovery.

Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iii): A computation of each category of damages claimed by the disclosing party—who must also make available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered:

RESPONSE: Not applicable.

Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iv): For inspection and copying as under Rule 34, any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment:

RESPONSE: Not applicable.

1. Statement Regarding Electronic Discovery and Production of Documents

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(ii) and 26(b)(2)(B), House Defendants state that documents may exist in a variety of locations and formats and are maintained consistent with the data retention policies of the House and South Carolina Legislative Services Agency. House Defendants have no reasonable means of searching across all available documents, many of which are not reasonably accessible such that collection and searching of them would entail undue burden and cost. Furthermore, it would be unduly burdensome to try to search for information in the documents from the digital custodial data of each of the individuals who might have discoverable information related to the Plaintiffs' allegations, particularly given the unknown likelihood of

finding potentially relevant data, the likelihood of searching through largely duplicative data, and the associated costs of processing and reviewing such a large amount of data. House Defendants will take reasonable steps to respond to Plaintiffs' discovery requests by searching reasonably accessible custodial data of persons over whom they have control and who are most likely to have relevant information in the time periods when they are most likely to have relevant information.

2. Supplementation

These initial disclosures of House Defendants are made without prejudice to the right to amend or supplement the responses, the right to assert applicable privileges or objections with respect to any requests for discovery, and the right to introduce at trial additional evidence and documents as warranted by the development of the facts underlying this lawsuit.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark C. Moore

Mark C. Moore (Fed. ID No. 4956)
Jennifer J. Hollingsworth (Fed. ID No. 11704)
Erica H. Wells (Fed. ID No. 13206)
Hamilton B. Barber (Fed. ID No. 13306)
Michael A. Parente (Fed. ID No. 13358)
NEXSEN PRUET, LLC
1230 Main Street, Suite 700
Columbia, SC 29201
Telephone: 803.771.8900
MMoore@nexsenpruet.com
JHollingsworth@nexsenpruet.com
EWells@nexsenpruet.com
HBarber@nexsenpruet.com
MParente@nexsenpruet.com

William W. Wilkins (Fed. ID No. 4662)
Andrew A. Mathias (Fed. ID No. 10166)
Konstantine P. Diamaduros (Fed. ID No. 12368)
NEXSEN PRUET, LLC
104 S. Main Street, Suite 900
Greenville, SC 29601
Telephone: 864.370.2211
BWilkins@nexsenpruet.com
AMathias@nexsenpruet.com
KDiamaduros@nexsenpruet.com

Rhett D. Ricard (Fed. ID No. 13549)
NEXSEN PRUET, LLC
205 King Street, Suite 400
Charleston, SC 29401
Telephone: 843.720.1707
RRicard@nexsenpruet.com

June 16, 2022
Columbia, South Carolina

*Attorneys for James H. Lucas, Chris Murphy, and
Wallace H. Jordan*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 16, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record by electronic mail.

s/ Mark C. Moore
Mark C. Moore